UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America,

) No. 3:22-cr-08092-001-SMB
Plaintiff,
3:22-cr-08092-002-SMB
Vs.
3:22-cr-08092-003-SMB
Vs.
3:22-cr-08092-004-SMB
3:22-cr-08092-005-SMB
Samuel Rappylee Bateman,
Naomi Bistline,
3:22-cr-08092-006-SMB
Donnae Barlow,
3:22-cr-08092-007-SMB
Moretta Rose Johnson,
3:22-cr-08092-008-SMB
Moretta Rose Johnson,
3:22-cr-08092-009-SMB
Josephine Barlow,
3:22-cr-08092-010-SMB
LaDell J. Bistline,
3:22-cr-08092-011-SMB
Brenda Barlow,
Marona Johnson,
Leia Bistline,
Torrance Bistline,
Defendants.
)

Defendants.

BEFORE: THE HONORABLE SUSAN M. BRNOVICH, JUDGE

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

STATUS CONFERENCE

Official Court Reporter: Christine M. Coaly, RMR, CRR Sandra Day O'Connor U.S. Courthouse, Suite 312 401 West Washington Street, Spc 37 Phoenix, Arizona 85003-2151 (602) 322-7248

Proceedings Reported by Stenographic Court Reporter Transcript Prepared by Computer-Aided Transcription

```
1
                          APPEARANCES
 2
     For the Plaintiff:
         U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
 3
         By: Ms. Dimitra H. Sampson, Esq.
              Ms. Lindsay L. Short, Esq.
 4
              Mr. Ryan Powell, Esq.
              Ms. Jillian Besancon, Esq.
 5
         2 Renaissance Square
         40 N Central Ave., Ste. 1800
 6
         Phoenix, AZ 85004
 7
     For Defendant Samuel Bateman:
         MARQUEZ LAW OFFICES
 8
         By: Mr. Eugene Marquez, Esq.
         Advisory Counsel
 9
         925 W Baseline Rd., Ste. 105-255
         Tempe, AZ 85283
10
     For Defendant Naomi Bistline:
         SALDIVAR & ASSOCIATES PLLC
11
         By: Mr. Jose A. Saldivar, Esq.
12
         2417 N 24th St.
         Phoenix, AZ 85008
13
     For Defendant Donnae Barlow:
14
         LAW OFFICE OF SANDRA K HAMILTON
         By: Ms. Sandra K. Hamilton, Esq.
15
         1641 E Osborn Rd., Ste. 8
         Phoenix, AZ 85014-5722
16
     For Defendant Moretta Rose Johnson:
         WALLIN LAW FIRM PLLC
17
         By: Mr. D Stephen Wallin, Esq.
18
         1641 E Osborne Rd., Ste. 8
         Phoenix, AZ 85016
19
     For Defendant Josephine Barlow Bistline:
20
         LAW OFFICE OF MARK J ANDERSEN
         By: Mr. Mark J. Andersen, Esq.
2.1
         Advisory Counsel
         455 W Orchard Way
22
         Gilbert, AZ 85233
23
24
25
```

```
1
                         APPEARANCES
 2
     For Defendant LaDell J. Bistline, Jr.:
         LAW OFFICE OF TONY ROLLMAN
 3
         By: Mr. Tony Rollman, Esq.
         P.O. Box 26545
         Prescott Valley, AZ 86312
 4
 5
    For Defendant Brenda Barlow:
         BERNARD LAW OFFICE
 6
         By: Mr. Gillmore B. Bernard, Esq.
         P.O. Box 13568
 7
         Phoenix, AZ 85002
 8
    For Defendant Marona Johnson:
         BLACKWELL LAW OFFICE PLLC
 9
         By: Mr. Jocquese L. Blackwell, Esq.
         P.O. Box 13568
10
         Phoenix, AZ 85002
11
     For Defendant Leia Bistline:
         LAW OFFICE OF LOYD C TATE
12
         By: Mr. Loyd C. Tate, Esq.
         1921 S Alma School Rd., Ste. 112
         Mesa, AZ 85210
13
14
     For Defendant Torrance Bistline:
         KATHY HENRY & ASSOCIATES
15
         By: Ms. Kathy L Henry, Esq.
         3100 W Ray Rd., Ste. 291
16
         Chandler, AZ 85226
17
     For Defendant Leilani Barlow:
         BRAD MILLER LAW LLC
18
         By: Mr. Bradley L. Miller, Esq.
         3377 S Price Rd., Ste., 2042-A
19
         Chandler, AZ 85248
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

referring to.

PROCEEDINGS COURTROOM DEPUTY: On the record in criminal docket 22-8092, United States of America versus Samuel Rappylee Bateman and others, before the Court for a status conference. MS. SAMPSON: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Dimitra Sampson appearing on behalf of the United States, and at counsel table also is Jillian Besancon, Lindsay Short, and Ryan Powell. THE COURT: Okay. MR. MARQUEZ: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Eugene Marquez appearing as advisory counsel for Mr. Bateman. He is present and seated to my left. THE COURT: Mr. Bateman, would you state your full name. THE DEFENDANT: If you can present the documents with my name on there, then I'd be glad to -- to accept that, but I don't want to say my name because then they'll use that on my strawman name and --THE COURT: Mr. Bateman, we've already had you in court where you told me your name. THE DEFENDANT: Yes, and if you can show me those documents, then I'll --THE COURT: I don't know what documents you're

THE DEFENDANT: Just the charging documents.

```
1
              THE COURT: Okay. I will get you another copy of the
 2
     indictment.
 3
              THE DEFENDANT: Okay. But I -- I'm not trying to be
     dumb, I just know what's going to happen. You're going to use
 4
     that all caps name and take money out of my cestui que account
 5
     using the Miller Act and forms 273, 274, 275, and I just don't
 6
     want you to do it.
 7
 8
              THE COURT: Okay. This case has nothing to do with
 9
    money.
10
              THE DEFENDANT: Actually, then why does the indictment
11
     say a bill, this is a bill?
              THE COURT: Okay. Have a seat.
12
13
              Counsel.
14
              MR. BLACKWELL: Thank you, Judge.
15
              Your Honor, Jocquese Blackwell on behalf of Ms. Marona
16
     Johnson, who is present standing next to me at the table.
17
              THE COURT: And, ma'am, would you state your full
18
     name.
19
              THE DEFENDANT: Marona Johnson.
20
              THE COURT: Thank you. You can have a seat.
2.1
              MR. SALDIVAR: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Jose
22
     Saldivar representing Ms. Naomi Bistline, who is to my left.
23
              THE COURT: Okay. Would you -- I'm sorry, can you
24
     have her state her name, please.
25
              THE DEFENDANT: Naomi Bistline.
```

```
1
              THE COURT: Thank you.
 2
              MS. HAMILTON: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Sandra
 3
     Hamilton with Donnae Barlow, who is present.
              THE DEFENDANT: Donnae Barlow.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Thank you.
              MR. WALLIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Steve Wallin
 6
     representing Moretta Rose Johnson, who is in custody standing
 7
 8
     to my left.
 9
              THE DEFENDANT: Moretta Rose Johnson.
10
              THE COURT: Okay.
11
              MR. ANDERSEN: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
12
     Andersen as advisory counsel for Josephine Bistline.
13
              THE COURT: Ma'am, would you state your name.
14
              THE DEFENDANT: Not as a strawman.
15
              THE COURT: Okay. Again, Ms. Bistline, we've already
16
     had you in court where you told me your name.
17
              Okay. You two have to understand that if you don't
18
     want to tell me who is appearing in court, then I may revoke
19
     your ability to represent yourself, because I can't have an
20
     unknown entity representing someone.
2.1
              Move on.
22
              MR. ROLLMAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Tony
23
    Rollman for LaDell Bistline, who is standing next to me and to
24
    my left in custody.
25
              THE DEFENDANT: LaDell Jay Bistline, Jr.
```

```
1
              THE COURT:
                          Thank you.
 2
              MR. MILLER: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Brad Miller
 3
     for Leilani Barlow, who is in custody standing to my left.
              THE DEFENDANT: Leilani Barlow.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Thank you.
              MS. HENRY: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Kathy Henry
 6
 7
     appearing on behalf of Torrance Bistline, who is sitting.
 8
              THE DEFENDANT: Torrance Clane (phonetic) Bistline.
 9
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
10
              MR. TATE: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Loyd Tate on
11
    behalf of Leia Bistline, who is standing to my left.
              THE DEFENDANT: Leia Joe Bistline.
12
13
              THE COURT: Okay.
14
              MR. BERNARD: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Gillmore
15
    Bernard for Brenda Barlow, who is in custody to my left.
              THE DEFENDANT: Brenda Lisa Barlow.
16
17
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
18
                          This hearing is solely set for the issue
              All right.
19
     of addressing the scheduling order which was issued in February
20
     when, I believe, only four or five of the defendants had been
2.1
     arrested and arraigned. And now we have all of them, so the
22
    Court wants to address the scheduling order to see if it's
23
     realistic at this point or needs to be amended.
              Ms. Sampson, from the government's position.
24
25
              MS. SAMPSON: Your Honor, thank you.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

```
Obviously, the government will be prepared to proceed
to trial whenever the Court schedules it. I did attempt to
confer with defense counsel on this issue. Many counsel
responded indicating they did not believe the March date was
realistic. We would not have any objection to a continuance,
but I would defer to defense counsel on their availability and
readiness and when that might be.
         THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Bateman, I'm going to skip you
for the time being.
         Mr. Saldivar. Sorry. I have you in a different order
on my list. I'm sorry.
         MR. SALDIVAR: Your Honor, I -- as you know, I'm
waiting for an examination to be conducted in my case, so we're
working on that. We would need additional time to prepare for
Ms. Bistline's defense.
         THE COURT: So it's your position that March is not a
realistic trial date?
         MR. SALDIVAR: That's correct.
         THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Hamilton.
        MS. HAMILTON: Thank you, Judge.
         It's also our position that March is not a realistic
trial date either. I have a capital case starting in September
that's set to go through March, and we have just received a
voluminous amount of new discovery and anticipate more coming.
```

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Wallin.

MR. WALLIN: Steve Wallin representing Moretta Rose Johnson.

2.1

Likewise, I don't think the March trial date is realistic. I echo what Sandy just said about the recent batch of discovery and anticipating quite a bit more, many terabytes of additional discovery. The government says a lot of that may or may not be terribly relevant, but, I mean, we do have an obligation to go through it rather than simply take the government's word for it.

And they have been -- I appreciate the government's efforts to kind of direct us to you want -- you might want to focus looking at this, or this, or this, and we appreciate that, encourage that, but we really, if we're going to go to trial on this, there is a lot of work to be done.

THE COURT: And since you're with the microphone, I know the government said that they attempted to confer with defense counsel. Have you conferred with any other counsel about a potential realistic trial date?

MR. WALLIN: Well, we had an exchange of e-mails is what we had, Your Honor. And I think the consensus was that -- and this was maybe a couple of weeks ago. The consensus was that since we got a lot more discovery that we don't have yet, and the new indictment, the second superseding indictment is for -- it's new to some people, and considerably more extensive for others, the consensus was among the defense counsel --

2.1

defense bar that it's really almost quite difficult to even set a realistic trial date at this juncture.

So, if you want to ask me my thoughts on that, I'll be glad to give them to you, but there was some reluctance to let ourselves be nailed down, I think is fair to say.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Rollman, do you have anything different to add?

MR. ROLLMAN: Your Honor, the only thing I would add is that until all of the discovery is revealed to us, we don't know what we don't know, and it would be impossible for us to anticipate a trial readiness date when we don't even know what's still out there to be received and reviewed. It's impossible to even determine how long it will take to review that discovery until we actually receive the discovery.

THE COURT: And have you, with other counsel, or with the government, discussed the deadlines that are currently set, the discovery deadlines and --

MR. ROLLMAN: I do believe there has been a discussion about that. There has been some talk about several terabytes of data that is still coming. I don't know when that will be actually made available to defense counsel, but I understand that that is something that is in process.

There is a logistical issue of the discovery being provided to defense counsel, in that there was -- the initial anticipated storage requirement was a 25 terabyte hard drive,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

```
which are difficult to find and unduly expensive. I looked and
found one for $11,000. I have not been able to find anything
else besides that one.
         There has been discussion about possibly breaking it
down into several seven terabyte hard drives, but that's the
last I heard about that. I don't know when that's going to
happen or how that's going to happen.
         THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Wallin.
         MR. WALLIN: Your Honor, we had -- some of us have had
some discussions, including yesterday we had a conference with
the Ninth Circuit budgeting attorney about possibly -- about a
variety of things, including sharing paralegals, sharing
experts, and possibly hiring a company such as Invendica to
host it, to host the discovery. Those discussions are still
kind of in the preliminary stage, but we are working on it. I
just thought the Court would like to know.
         THE COURT: Okay. I had that in the back of my mind.
         Okay. Mr. Bernard, you're next on my list.
         MR. BERNARD: Yes. Good afternoon, Judge.
         I echo the sentiments of all the defense bar.
believe the only thing that hasn't been discussed is I think
some of the discovery that is outstanding is electronic,
Cellebrite data, which we would need an expert to review. So I
think that might put an extra time frame on that as well.
```

Mr. Tate.

THE COURT: Okay.

```
1
              MR. TATE: Judge, I don't have anything to add. I
 2
     agree with --
 3
              THE COURT: Okay.
              MR. TATE: -- everybody else.
 4
              THE COURT: Ms. Henry.
 5
              MS. HENRY: Likewise, Your Honor, I don't have
 6
 7
     anything to add. I agree with everyone else.
 8
              THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Miller.
 9
              MR. MILLER: Your Honor, even understanding the
10
     difficulties with all of the discovery, my client still would
11
     like to voice to the Court she'd like to exercise her speedy
12
     trial right, but we do understand all of the very difficult
13
     issues we're going through at this point.
14
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
15
              MR. MILLER: Thank you.
16
              THE COURT: And can you hand that back to
17
    Mr. Blackwell.
18
              MR. BLACKWELL: I have a mic right here, Your Honor.
19
              I will echo everything else that the defense said, and
20
     the government, as it pertains to the discovery. It's a
    voluminous amount of discovery, and so we cannot put -- from my
2.1
22
    perspective, I couldn't put a date on when we would be ready
23
     for trial until we get it all.
24
              THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Bateman, do you want to be
25
    heard on this issue?
```

```
DEFENDANT BATEMAN: Yes. I'd like to ask a few
 1
 2
     questions, if that's all right.
 3
              THE COURT: You can ask them. I don't know if I'll
 4
     answer them.
              DEFENDANT BATEMAN: You don't know if you'll answer
 5
 6
     them.
 7
              One of the questions I'm wondering is are we presumed
     innocent, all of us here?
 8
              THE COURT: Of course you are.
 9
10
              DEFENDANT BATEMAN: Okay. So just, on record, so that
11
    we're all presumed innocent of every element of every alleged
12
     crime?
13
              THE COURT: The government has to prove it beyond a
14
    reasonable doubt, yes.
15
              DEFENDANT BATEMAN: Okay. Wonderful.
16
              I actually have several other things that I'd like to
17
    ask if that's --
18
              THE COURT: No. This is only about scheduling, this
19
    hearing. There are other --
2.0
              DEFENDANT BATEMAN: Excuse me.
2.1
              THE COURT: There are other things pending which I'll
22
    handle separately. This is only to discuss the scheduling.
23
              DEFENDANT BATEMAN: Okay. Very well. Thank you.
24
              THE COURT: So do you have any objection to a revised
25
     scheduling order which would push off the trial?
```

```
1
              DEFENDANT BATEMAN:
                                 No.
 2
              THE COURT: All right. Ms. Bistline, Josephine.
 3
              THE DEFENDANT: I would also like to go through the
 4
     discovery, so ...
              THE COURT: So do you have any objection to amending
 5
 6
     the scheduling order?
 7
              THE DEFENDANT: No.
 8
              THE COURT: All right. So what I'm going to do is
 9
     order -- because there is currently a scheduling order in
10
     place, Doc. 57, which sets deadlines, not only for the
11
     government, but for defendants' disclosures, which I presume,
12
     based on what everybody has told me, the defendants aren't
13
     ready to start disclosure as fast as this order says.
14
              So by September 1st I'm going to request that the
15
     government submit a new proposed scheduling order after
16
     consulting with the defendants and their counsel. And, I
17
     quess, if there is any objections, include that in the
18
     scheduling order. So I won't vacate the current scheduling
19
     order until we have a new one.
2.0
              Does anybody have any questions about the issue of
2.1
     scheduling?
22
              DEFENDANT JOSEPHINE BISTLINE: I wanted to ask for an
23
     order that we can -- we can study as co-defendants together.
24
              THE COURT: You can put that in writing.
25
              DEFENDANT JOSEPHINE BISTLINE: In writing?
```

```
THE COURT: Yep.
 1
              Anybody have any questions about scheduling?
 2
 3
              (No response.)
              THE COURT: I don't see any other hands, so this
 4
     hearing is at recess. Thank you.
 5
              (Proceedings concluded at 1:19 p.m.)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	<u>CERTIFICATE</u>
2	
3	I, CHRISTINE M. COALY, do hereby certify that I am
4	duly appointed and qualified to act as Official Court Reporter
5	for the United States District Court for the District of
6	Arizona.
7	I FURTHER CERTIFY that the foregoing pages constitute
8	a full, true, and accurate transcript of all of that portion of
9	the proceedings contained herein, had in the above-entitled
10	cause on the date specified therein, and that said transcript
11	was prepared under my direction and control.
12	DATED at Phoenix, Arizona, this 20th day of October,
13	2023.
14	
15	
16	/s/ Christine M. Coaly
17	Christine M. Coaly, RMR, CRR
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	